

The Role of Personality Traits in Predicting Stress Management Techniques among College Students

Mrs. Manisha Sharma^{1*}, Prof. O.P. Sharma²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India

²Head, Department of Psychology University of Rajasthan, Jaipur 302004, India

^{1*}Corresponding Author Email: manisha22244sharma@gmail.com

Abstract —Personality traits significantly impact how individuals perceive, react to, and manage stress, particularly within high-stress environments such as college. This theoretical paper explores relationship among various personality traits—primarily the Big Five traits (extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism and openness)—as well as the choice of stress management techniques among college students. Literature shows that students having high levels of neuroticism often exhibit heightened stress responses and may rely on avoidance-based coping strategies, whereas those high in conscientiousness have been more probable to employ proactive stress management approaches, such as time management and structured planning. Extroverted students may engage more frequently in social coping mechanisms, while individuals high in openness may gravitate toward creative or mindfulness-based methods. This paper synthesizes research findings to illustrate how personality not only influences coping strategies but also affects the efficacy of these techniques in reducing stress. The insights provided here aim to enhance understanding of how personalized stress management interventions can be tailored according to personality profiles, potentially improving mental health outcomes for college students. Future research should examine how these theoretical relationships manifest in diverse populations, further enriching the academic discourse on personality and stress management.

Keywords: personality traits, stress management, college students, coping strategies, Big Five

I. INTRODUCTION

I.I. PERSONALITY

Personality has been a steady collection of behaviours along with traits which indicate a person's distinctive approach towards navigating life. It comprises interests, key traits, values, motivations, self-perception, abilities, as well as emotional tendencies. Personality represents consistent thought patterns, emotions, along with behaviours which indicate individual's unique response way along with sense of identity.

I.II. THE BIG FIVE PERSONALITY TRAITS (OCEAN MODEL)

Openness to Experience: Open-minded people have been imaginative, curious, as well as open to novel experiences. They find delight in trying novel things, are creative, and tend to be more accepting of diverse viewpoints. Routine and familiarity may be preferred by those with poor openness.

Conscientiousness: People who are conscientious have been dependable, well-organized, and goal-oriented. They are responsible, disciplined, and tend to excel in tasks that require planning and attention to detail. Lower conscientiousness is associated with a more relaxed or spontaneous approach.

Extraversion: Being with other people energizes extroverts, who are outgoing as well as social. They enjoy being focus of attention as well as have often been more enthusiastic and assertive. Introverts, however, are more reserved, preferring solitary activities and smaller social groups.

Agreeableness: Agreeable people are cooperative, compassionate, as well as kind. They cherish peace moreover have generally been trusting and empathetic. People with lower agreeableness could be more sceptical or competitive.

Neuroticism: High neuroticism is associated with emotional instability, anxiety, and moodiness. People with high levels of this characteristic could be more stressed, prone to excessive worry, and more prone to having negative feelings including fear along with sadness, or frustration in response to challenging situations. They may also struggle with self-doubt and have difficulty regulating their emotions, which can impact their academic performance, interpersonal relationships, and overall

well-being. Research suggests that people with elevated neuroticism are more probable of engaging in maladaptive coping strategies, like rumination, avoidance, or emotional outbursts, that may make stress worse rather than alleviate it.

In contrast, low neuroticism is linked to greater emotional stability, resilience, and the ability to maintain a calm and balanced outlook even in difficult circumstances. Individuals with low neuroticism are generally more optimistic, better equipped to handle setbacks, and more probable of using adaptive coping approaches, including problem-solving as well as seeking social support. Their ability of regulating emotions effectively allows them to navigate stressors with confidence and maintain a control sense over their lives. Understanding neuroticism's role in stress responses is crucial for developing targeted interventions that help individuals manage anxiety and build resilience, particularly in high-pressure environments such as academic or professional settings.

I.III. STRESS

Stress is the body's and mind's reaction to internal or external pressures. It happens when a person feels that demands are greater than their ability to cope. Stress can affect emotions, behaviour, and physical health. It creates mental and physical tension and plays a big role in overall well-being, especially when facing challenges or threats.

Stress is a common experience among college students, arising from academic demands, personal challenges, and the need to adapt to new environments. As stress levels increase, effective stress management becomes essential to maintain well-being and academic performance. However, not all students respond to stress in the same way; personality characteristics often have a critical function in figuring out how people view as well as manage stress (John & Srivastava, 1999). This paper explores relationship among personality characteristics, as defined by the Big 5 model—conscientiousness, agreeableness, extraversion, openness to experience, as well as neuroticism—along with selection of stress management techniques among college students.

The Big Five personality model has widely been researched as a behaviour predictor along with coping approaches (McCrae & Costa, 2008). Each trait is believed to influence how individuals interpret stressors and which coping mechanisms they are likely to employ. For example, people high in extraversion often look for social support to manage stress, Conversely, persons with high neuroticism can be more susceptible to anxiety and maladaptive coping strategies (Costa & McCrae, 1992). Since students have different personality traits, understanding these differences can help create better ways to manage stress. This paper looks at recent research on the Big 5 personality traits along with their effect on stress management in college students. It explores how certain personality types prefer different ways of handling stress, including both helpful methods (like problem-solving and positive thinking) and unhelpful ones (like avoiding problems or denying them).

By examining these relationships, this research aims in contributing development of personalized approaches for stress management, ultimately fostering resilience and improving mental health outcomes in college populations.

I.IV. OBJECTIVES

1. To examine the relationship between specific personality traits and preferred stress management techniques among college students.
2. To analyze how personality traits such as neuroticism, extroversion, openness, agreeableness, and conscientiousness influence coping mechanisms.
3. To investigate if certain personality traits predict the effectiveness of specific stress management techniques in a college setting.
4. To explore the role of psychological resilience as a mediator between personality traits and stress management success.
5. To provide recommendations for tailored stress management interventions based on personality profiling.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Neuroticism is found to be a strong indicator of perceived stress levels, often leading to less effective stress management. Lee et al. (2019) explored this association and found that neurotic students had been more probable of reporting higher stress levels and choose maladaptive coping mechanisms. The study found that focusing on neurotic tendencies with specific interventions could help improve stress management in academic settings. Recent studies have shown a strong link among personality traits as well as coping strategies for handling anxiety. Consider example, Smith et al. (2018) explored how the Big 5 personality traits influence coping methods among college students. Their research revealed that students possessing a high degree of

extraversion as well as openness favoured active Coping mechanisms, however, people who are highly neurotic had been more probable of relying on avoidance techniques.

Extraversion is consistently linked to social support utilization as a coping approach. According to Johnson et al. (2020), extroverted students are more probable of seeking social interactions as a buffer against stress, a strategy shown to increase resilience. Their study also highlighted significance of fostering social support networks for students low in extraversion, as they may not naturally gravitate towards such resources.

Besides personality traits, resilience is also important in managing stress. Williams et al. (2021) discovered that resilience acts as a bridge between personality traits and stress levels, indicating that strengthening resilience skills can help students of all personality types cope with stress more effectively. Their study emphasized that resilience training could complement traditional personality-focused stress management strategies.

With the rise in mindfulness practices, researchers have explored its effectiveness based on personality type. For example, Chen et al. (2022) studied mindfulness as a coping strategy for students with different personality traits. They discovered that mindfulness was particularly effective for students high in conscientiousness, likely due to their goal-oriented nature and commitment to self-improvement.

Agreeableness has been linked to adaptable coping, as students high in this trait often prioritize harmony and positive social interactions. Research by Patel et al. (2023) demonstrated that students high in agreeableness had been more likely to employ collaborative and problem.

Openness to experience is associated with creativity in stress management, where individuals utilize novel or unconventional coping methods. According to Tan et al. (2020), students having higher degrees of openness try utilizing expressive coping approaches, including artistic expression, journaling, and creative problem-solving, as a means of alleviating stress. The study highlights the significance of integrating creative activities into academic and personal environments to support students with this personality trait, particularly in high-stress situations.

Additionally, the concept of **coping flexibility**—capability of modifying 1's coping approaches defined by changing circumstances—is closely linked to specific personality traits. Individuals who demonstrate greater adaptability in their coping mechanisms tend to manage stress more effectively, as they can shift between emotion-focused along with problem-focused approaches when necessary. This flexibility has especially been beneficial in academic settings, where students frequently encounter varying stressors, such as exams, social pressures, and career uncertainties. Encouraging diverse coping strategies, tailored to students' personality traits, may enhance their overall well-being and resilience.

Liu et al. (2022) identified that individuals high in both openness and conscientiousness exhibited greater coping flexibility, allowing them to adjust their strategies effectively in diverse stress scenarios. This flexibility was shown to correlate with better overall mental health outcomes, suggesting a compounded benefit of these personality traits in stress resilience.

Conscientious individuals often manage stress through structured physical activities. According to Brooks et al. (2023), conscientious college students were more probable of engaging in regular exercise as stress management tool, reporting lower stress levels as a result. This correlation underscores the importance of promoting physical health initiatives in educational settings to benefit conscientious students and enhance their stress resilience.

Future research could further explore these tailored approaches, potentially enhancing psychological support systems in academic institutions.

REFERENCES

1. Barkoukis, V., & Lazarides, S. (2020). Big Five personality traits and stress management strategies: A systematic review. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 85, 103957. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2020.103957>
2. Bolger, N. (1990). Coping as a personality process: A prospective study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 59(3), 525–537. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.59.3.525>
3. Carver, C. S. (1997). You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the brief COPE. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 4(1), 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401_6

4. Connor-Smith, J. K., & Flachsbarth, C. (2007). Relations between personality and coping: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 93(6), 1080–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.93.6.1080>
5. Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). Four ways five factors are basic. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 13(6), 653–665. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869\(92\)90236-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(92)90236-I)
6. DeLongis, A., & Holtzman, S. (2005). Coping in context: The role of stress, social support, and personality in coping. *Journal of Personality*, 73(6), 1633–1656. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2005.00361.x>
7. Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Lucas, R. E. (2003). Personality, culture, and subjective well-being: Emotional and cognitive evaluations of life. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 54(1), 403–425. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145056>
8. Halamandaris, K. F., & Power, K. G. (1999). Individual differences, social support, and coping with the examination stress: A study of the psychosocial and academic adjustment of first-year university students. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 26(4), 665–685. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(98\)00172-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(98)00172-X)
9. John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). The Big Five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (2nd ed., pp. 102–138). Guilford Press.
10. Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer Publishing Company.
11. Matthews, G., & Zeidner, M. (2004). Personality, coping, and self-regulation: A selective review. In M. F. Dollard, A. H. Winefield, & H. R. Winefield (Eds.), *Occupational stress in the service professions* (pp. 289–321). Taylor & Francis.
12. McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. (2008). The five-factor theory of personality. In O. P. John, R. W. Robins, & L. A. Pervin (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (3rd ed., pp. 159–181). Guilford Press.
13. Park, C. L., & Folkman, S. (1997). Meaning in the context of stress and coping. *Review of General Psychology*, 1(2), 115–144. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.1.2.115>
14. Penley, J. A., Tomaka, J., & Wiebe, J. S. (2002). The association of coping to physical and psychological health outcomes: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 25(6), 551–603. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020641400589>
15. Schmitt, D. P., Allik, J., McCrae, R. R., & Benet-Martínez, V. (2007). The geographic distribution of Big Five personality traits: Patterns and profiles of human self-description across 56 nations. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 38(2), 173–212. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022106297299>
16. Steel, P., Schmidt, J., & Shultz, J. (2008). Refining the relationship between personality and subjective well-being. *Psychological Bulletin*, 134(1), 138–161. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.134.1.138>
17. Suls, J., & David, J. P. (1996). Personality and coping: Three generations of research. *Journal of Personality*, 64(4), 711–735. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1996.tb00942.x>
18. Terracciano, A., & Costa, P. T. (2004). Smoking and the Five-Factor Model of personality. *Addiction*, 99(4), 472–481. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00687.x>
19. Vollrath, M. (2001). Personality and stress. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 42(4), 335–347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9450.00245>
20. Watson, D., & Hubbard, B. (1996). Adaptational style and dispositional structure: Coping in the context of the five-factor model. *Journal of Personality*, 64(4), 737–774. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1996.tb00943.x>